

## Science and Metaphysics Part II

### Science and Theology – complementary or mutual exclusion?

By

David Tin Win & Thandee Kywe

#### **Abstract**

*The major factor that limits application of science in theology is identified as negligence of the mind aspect of humans. The argument is developed through two paths: Arguments Against Existence of God and Arguments for Existence of God.*

*Durkheim's Social Argument, Freud's "psychological crutch" argument and The Argument from Evil constitute the arguments against God existence.*

*Arguments for God existence consists of St. Anselm and Descartes' Ontological Argument (Priori Logical argument); Aquinas' three versions and Descartes' two versions of The Cosmological Argument (Posteriori Logical argument); Aquinas and Paley's Teleological Argument (Logical*

*Argument); and The Argument from Will and Faith: Kant's Moral Law Argument, Hobbe's argument against revelations, and Hume's disbelief in miracles.*

#### **1. Introduction**

**This is the second of a series of four papers, which contend that science is incapable of resolving arguments in metaphysics. Science has generally ignored the mind aspect and concentrated on the material aspect of things, including humans. This limits application of science to metaphysics. The limitation of science applications in theology is examined in this paper.**

Metaphysics has a mundane or earthly origin. It is not beyond or above